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Аннотация:
Наша статья направлена на исследование качества жизни, а также уровня жизни в различных регионах Российской Федерации. Для данного исследования мы выбрали три региона с разным уровнем и качеством жизни, а именно: Московская область, Челябинская область и Алтайский край. Для полного анализа мы ознакомились с показателями уровня жизни, а также проанализировали факторы, которые влияют на уровень жизни населения. Мы сравнили регионы с высоким, средним и низким уровнем и качеством жизни. На основе нашего исследования мы выявили экономическое содержание причин, по которым тот или иной субъект занимает свою позицию в рейтинге.
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Annotation.

Our article is intended on research of quality of life and standards of living in different regions of Russian Federation. We decided to choose three different regions with different quality and standards of living for this research. These regions are Moscow region, Chelyabinsk region and Altay region. We overviewed and analyzed some statistics, indicators and factors that influence quality and standards of living to do a full analyzes.

We compared regions with high, average and low quality and standards of living in our research. Based on this analysis, we identified economic reasons, why every region takes its place in rating.
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Quality of life is one of the most important indicators of development of the regions. Definition of quality of life includes many different points such as economic, social, and demographic and many other living conditions [2].

Quality of life is a board category, what causes a huge variability during the analyses of economic indicators. There are three methods of quality of life and standards of living rating formation in Russia, these are objective, subjective and combined. We consider combined method to be the most appropriate for this rating. The main difficulty during the rating formation is a huge difference between its indicators. However, there are some factors that are widely assumed, they are ecology, health care and peaceful existence. First two indicators directly depend on economic situation in the country or region where these factors are рассматривается. Ecological situation depends on ways of use of natural resources in a region. There is a need in a good economic environment and government subsidy for companies to have money to improve the way they use natural recourses. Good health care system requires a well working tax base and right funds distribution. Even peaceful existence depends on economics, because work of government and police is funded from the budget.

Table 1 - Socio-economic situation of Russian regions rating and main indicators of this rating. [3]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent entities of Russian Federation</th>
<th>Position in socio-economic situation of Russian regions</th>
<th>Population for one bed in a hospital (person)</th>
<th>Share of captured and neutralized air pollutants</th>
<th>Amount of registered crimes Per 100 000 people</th>
<th>Revenue of the state budget, millions of rubles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moscow region</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>135,2</td>
<td>74,2</td>
<td>1198</td>
<td>551730,5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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As we can see, Moscow region, which takes higher position in Socio-economic situation of Russian regions rating, is the leading by two indicators. However, share of captured and neutralized air pollutants indicator is not that easy to analyze. Ecological situation in Chelyabinsk region is in bad conditions, despite the high amount of captured and neutralized air pollutants; there were 597 tons of harmful uncaptured pollutants vented into atmosphere in Chelyabinsk region at the beginning of 2017 year, due to Rosstat. There were about 253 tons of pollutants vented into the atmosphere at the same time in Moscow region.

Moscow region has a bigger tax base in comparison with Chelyabinsk region and as a result, there formed a bigger budget to improve quality of live and standards of living in Moscow region. Moreover, there is a huge difference between region’s budgets, Moscow’s budget a few times higher, when other indicators are almost at the same level. It may mean that some regions use their budgets in inefficient way.

Table 2 - Expenditure of a budget by regions for healthcare and ecology. [4] [5]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Intergovernmental transfers, millions of rub</th>
<th>Costs on ecological events, millions of rubles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moscow region</td>
<td>20757</td>
<td>3712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chelyabinsk region</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Returning to the subject of inefficient usage of budgets we can look through the table above. Government of the Moscow region spends huge sums of money
from the budget to improve health care and ecological situation in this region. Nevertheless these indicators are almost the same both in Moscow and in Chelyabinsk regions. It means that region spend its money inefficiently, insufficient government control over the government and commercial companies.

In conclusion, we can way that position of the region in Socio-economic situation of Russian regions rating highly depends on the amount of money region gets and the way it spends these money for maintenance and development quality of live and standards of living. Objects of expenditure of regions budgets require permanent monitoring and control to increase efficiency of its usage. Research of live quality can help to identify methods to improve situation in a region, so region can take a hire position in Socio-economic situation of Russian regions rating. Moreover, this research can help to reveal change dynamic and form complex representation of economic reasons for advance or decline of current situation of quality of live. There is a need in extra money for organization of control over budged spending. It should be noted, Moscow region takes its position in Socio-economic situation of Russian regions rating because in comparison with other regions it has a high amount of money in funds for improving live quality events, but spend it inefficiently. There is a vise verse situation in Chelyabinsk region, this region spend a poor amount of money on maintaining quality of live, but does it very well. In Altay region, the last region in our list, both of indicators has bad results. Budget in this region is small and government of the regions use it without a proper control.
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